Record # 1	(b)(6) Consumer Sentinel Network Complaint					
Reference		Originator				
Number:	(b)(6)	Reference				
		Number:				
Language:	English	Contact	Complaint			
		Type:				
Source:	Consumer	DNC?:	No			
Comments:	(b)(6) is violatin	g antitrust laws, prev	enting me from working as a			
	physician, along with reprimanding oth					
	providing FDA-approved excellent me		•			
	judge for repoeting patient harm at (b	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				
	fraud v (b)(6)		nedical care. although I have more			
			them, they claimed that there was nor			
	l	evidence of my competency. several physicians verified my competency. I work at (b)(6)				
	please see (b)(6) Here is a case where the medical board attempted to reprimand this physician for excellent medical care. If					
	· ·					
	medical boards are reprimanding, or trying to reprimand "by the books," "simple," "non-					
	abstruse," "FDA-approved," medical care, then one might ask the following questions 1. Do we					
	need doctors to serve on the medical board2. Why don't the doctors on the medical board perform their due diligence3. Is absolute immunity of medical board members helping board					
	·					
		members, or patients? (b)(6) also rank very high in rates of fraud and misconduct committed by medical boards. We need				
	legislative advocacy. According to the		-			
	practicing medicine without a license v		~			
	I .	=	out a license is when the diagnosis of			
	another physician is rendered not as a	an opinion, but as a t	he diagnosis that is informed to the			
	patient and presented as such, and w	ithout commentary b	y the physician who is the patients			
	provider.These are the things that medical boards are engaging in and you never know when an					
	administrator will give you bad evals for asking them a single question, and when they will					
	equivocate an honest reply with blaming. Would it surprise you that the medical board (b)(6)					
	(and they probably aren't alone,) committed six counts of fraud trying to reprimand (b)(6)					
	(b)(6) for GOOD medical care. These physicians on the medical board didn't spend one					
	hour looking up the stent guidelines, not did they simply call (b)(6) and say, "hey, can you					
	explain the case to me." The Unaccountable medical board attorneys are encouraged by tv					
	unaccountable medical board members to win, apparently to ignore patient harm, even if it					
	occurred repeatedly. The VA Scandal is not limited to the VA, but could occur in any hospital in					
	the USA. We need to reform medical boards somewhat. You may mock, $(b)(6)$ isn't alone, TX appears* to be engaged in abuse of discretion. $(b)(6)$ The judge said that the Board of					
		` , , ,				
	Registration for (b)(6) conduct was "unfathomable and deeply disturbing," The AMA currently opposes the FTC in their effort v the NC dental board. They say that patient safety is					
		the reason, but it appears to be to abuse discretion. Yes, patient harm is significant, but boards				
	capriciously evaluate physicians mora					
	someone, was arrested, and taken to jail, has a mug shot online. The resident is of good moral					
	character with board	(b)(6)	-			
		(b)(6)				
Complaint						
disposition						
provided?:						
1						

Complaint			
Disposition:		1 .	
Data		Load Date:	07/24/2014 6:39:03 PM
Reference:			
Created By:	FTCCIS-FTCUSER	Created Date:	07/24/2014 6:39:03 PM
Updated By:		Updated	
		Date:	
Complaint	FTC Mobile Complaint Assistant	Product	Franchises\Distributorships
Source:		Service	
		Description:	
Amount		Amount Paid:	\$1,000.00
Requested:			
Payment	Check	Agency	Mobile
Method:		Contact:	
Complaint	07/24/2014	Transaction	08/01/2013
Date:		Date:	
Initial	Mail	Initial	
Contact:	I Wall	Response:	
Statute/Rule:	FTC Act Sec 5 (BCP)	Law	Deception/Misrepresentation
Statute/Rule.	The Act sec 5 (Bot)	Violation:	Deception/wisrepresentation
Tania			
Topic:		Dispute with Credit	
		Bureau?:	
Di			
Dispute with		Dispute with	
Credit		Credit	
Bureau -		Bureau -	
Responded?:		Resolved to	
		Satisfaction?:	
Member of	No	Cross Border	No
armed forces		Complaint?:	
or			
dependent?:	<u> </u>		
_	Consumer	Information	
Consumer			
Small			
Business or			
Organization:		_	
First Name:	(b)(6)	Last Name:	(b)(6)
Address 1:	(b)(6)	Address 2:	(b)(6)
City:	(b)(6)	State:	(b)(6)
Zip:	(b)(6)	Country:	UNITED STATES
Home	(b)/6)	Cell Number:	(b)(6)
Number:	(b)(6)		(b)(6)
Work	(5)(0)	Ext:	(5)(0)
Number:	(b)(6)		(b)(6)
Fax Number:	(b)(6)	Email:	(b)(6)

Age Range:	30 - 39	Military				
		Service				
		Branch:				
Soldier		Soldier				
Status:		Station:				
Subject						
Subject:	(b)(6)	Normalized	(5)(6)			
	(b)(6)	Name:	(b)(6)			
Address 1:	(b)(6)	Address 2:				
City:	(b)(6)	State/Prov:	(b)(6)			
ZIP:	(b)(6)	Country:	UNITED STATES			
Email:		URL:				
Phone		Ext:				
Number:						
Subject ID		Subject ID				
Type:		Issuer State:				
Subject ID						
Issuer						
Country:						
Representative	(b)(6)	Title:	(b)(6)			
Name:			(2)(3)			
	Asso	ciated Subject				
Company:	(b)(6)	Normalized	(b)(6)			
		Company:	(2)(3)			
Company	Other	Address:	(b)(6)			
Type:						
City:	(b)(6)	State/Prov:	(b)(6)			
ZIP:	(b)(6)	Country:	UNITED STATES			
Email:		URL:				
Phone		Ext:				
Number:						